resolution increases. Therefore, the anomalous-scattering signal is clearly better for the short-wavelength data rather than the longer-wavelength data. Furthermore, the ratio of $F''_{H_{calc}}/E''$, where $F_{H_{calc}}$ is the anomalous contribution of the anomalous-scattering atoms to the structure factor and E'' is the r.m.s. lack of closure for the anomalous case, as a function of resolution (Fig. 4) is greater, on average by a factor of two, for the EMP derivative and this pattern is extended to the $F_{HLE} R$ factor (47.1% EMP; 51.0% DBMMF) and the overall figure of merit for the resultant phase set (0.58 EMP; 0.51 DBMMF).

These results indicate that, for these two very similar derivatives, the anomalous-scattering signal to noise has been enhanced for the EMP data set, for which the wavelength was chosen to illuminate the $L_{\rm II}$ absorption edge of the Hg atom in conjunction with a reduction in the overall protein crystal absorption at 0.86 Å. On PX9.6 it is easy to move between the absorption edges of commonly used heavy ions, such as mercury, platinum and gold, so that MIROAS is routinely useable. The results from this present study with SIROAS illustrate the ease and effectiveness of the procedure. The two benefits of reduced absorption and increased anomalous-scattering signal have increased the phasing power of the EMP derivative of GDH and hence improved the quality of the resultant GDH electron density maps.

We thank the SERC for their financial support of this work and for use of the SRS. We also thank J. M. A. Smith for helping to set up station PX9.6 and for subsequent consultations and also all the support staff at Daresbury. DWR is a Lister Institute Research Fellow.

References

- ARNDT, U. W., CHAMPNESS, J. N., PHIZACKERLY, R. P. & WONACOTT, A. J. (1973). J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 457-463.
- ARNDT, U. W. & WONACOTT, A. J. (1977). The Rotation Method in Crystallography. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- BANNER, D. W., EVANS, P. R., MARSH, D. J. & PHILLIPS, D. C. (1977). J. Appl. Cryst. 10, 45-51.
- BLOW, D. M. & CRICK, F. H. C. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 794-802.
- DICKERSON, R. E., KENDREW, J. C. & STRANDBERG, B. E. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 1188-1195.
- DODSON, E. & VIJAYAN, M. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 2402-2411.
- Fox, G. C. & HOLMES, K. C. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 886-891.
- GREENHOUGH, T. J. & HELLIWELL, J. R. (1982). J. Appl. Cryst. 15, 493-508.
- GREENHOUGH, T. J. & HELLIWELL, J. R. (1983). Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 41, 89.
- HELLIWELL, J. R. (1984). Rep. Prog. Phys. 47, 1403-1497.
- HELLIWELL, J. R., GREENHOUGH, T. J., CARR, P. D., RULE, S. A., MOORE, P. R., THOMPSON, A. W. & WORGAN, J. S. (1982). J. Phys. E, 15, 1363-1372.
- HELLIWELL, J. R., PAPIZ, M. Z., GLOVER, I. D., HABASH, J., THOMPSON, A. W., MOORE, P. R., HARRIS, N., CROFT, D. & PANTOS, E. (1986). Nucl. Instrum. Methods, A246, 617-623.
- KARTHA, G. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 883-885.
- MATTHEWS, B. W. (1966a). Acta Cryst. 20, 82-86.
- MATTHEWS, B. W. (1966b). Acta Cryst. 20, 230-239.
- NORTH, A. C. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 212-216.
- NORTH, A. C. T., PHILLIPS, D. C. & MATHEWS, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- PHILLIPS, D. C. (1964). J. Sci. Instrum. 41, 123-129.
- RICE, D. W., BAKER, P. J., FARRANTS, G. W. & HORNBY, D. P. (1987). Biochem. J. 242, 789-795.
- RICE, D. W., HORNBY, D. P. & ENGEL, P. C. (1985). J. Mol. Biol. 181, 147-149.
- SASAKI, S. (1984). Photon Factory KEK preprint No. 83-22, pp. 1-136. Photon Factory, Ibaraki, Japan.
- STUART, D. & WALKER, N. (1987). Computational Aspects of Protein Crystal Data Analysis. Proc. of Daresbury Study Weekend DL/SCI/R25, edited by J. R. HELLIWELL, P. A. MACHIN & M. Z. PAPIZ, pp. 25-38. Warrington: SERC Daresbury Laboratory.

Acta Cryst. (1990). A46, 725-730

Space-Group Frequencies of Proteins and of Organic Compounds with More Than One Formula Unit in the Asymmetric Unit

By N. Padmaja, S. Ramakumar* and M. A. Viswamitra

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560 012, India

(Received 6 October 1988; accepted 4 April 1990)

Abstract

An analysis of the distribution of organic crystal structures with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit among the 230 space groups has been carried out for the compounds listed in the Cambridge

0108-7673/90/090725-06\$03.00

Structural Database. 8.3% of the total number of compounds (51611) in the database have more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit; 81% of these are reported in only five space groups: $P2_1/c$ (27.8%), $P\overline{1}$ (23.5%), $P2_1$ (13.8%), P1 (8.5%) and $P2_12_12_1$ (7.8%). When all compounds are considered, the first five most populous space groups are: $P2_1/c$ (36.6%), $P\overline{1}$ (16.9%), $P2_12_12_1$ (11.0%),

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Author for correspondence.

C2/c (7.0%) and $P2_1$ (6.4%). The distribution of compounds among the seven crystal systems is also reported. The frequencies indicate a preferential occurrence of lower-symmetry space groups for structures with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit. Space-group frequencies for protein crystal structures from the Protein Data Bank, Brookhaven, have been calculated and are compared with those of chiral small molecules.

Introduction

Space-group frequencies for organic compounds reported up to 1981 were published by Mighell, Himes & Rodgers (1983). The distribution was revised by Donohue (1985). Space groups which are rare for organic structures in triclinic, monoclinic and orthorhombic crystal systems have recently been analysed by Wilson (1988). Further, those instances where crystal structures have been reported in unnecessarily low-symmetry space groups have been discussed (Baur & Tillmanns, 1986; Marsh, 1986). Such errors often lead to more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit of the reported space group (Baur & Tillmanns, 1986; Marsh & Schomaker, 1979; Marsh & Herbstein, 1983). It is of interest, therefore, to analyse the space-group frequencies of crystal structures with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit to investigate the systematics of such distributions.

We report here the frequency for each of the 230 space groups for all compounds which have more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit. The analysis was made on the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD: release of 1987; see e.g. Allen et al., 1979). Relevant structures have been identified for which the reported Z value is greater than the corresponding 'standard' Z value as given in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Results are compared with the space-group frequencies for all compounds.

Results*

About 8.3% of all compounds in the CSD are found to have more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit. About 81% of these are distributed in five space groups: $P2_1/c$ (27.8%), $P\overline{1}$ (23.5%), $P2_1$ (13.8%), P1 (8.5%) and $P2_12_12_1$ (7.8%). Of the 230 space groups, 24 have a single entry only, while as many as 167 (higher-symmetry) space groups have zero incidence of this effect. The distribution is shown in Table 1. Space-group frequencies for all organocarbon compounds reported up to 1987 have been

Table 1. Space-group frequencies of organo-carboncompounds with more than one formula unit in the
asymmetric unit

167 unoccupied space groups are not included.

Space- group symbol	Space- group no.	Fre- quency	Space- group symbol	Space- group no.	Fre- quency
<i>P</i> 1	1	361	Pnna	52	1
ΡĪ	2	1003	Pcca	54	1
P2	3	2	Pccn	56	5
P21	4	590	Pbcm	57	1
C2	5	57	Pbcn	60	14
Pm	6	1	Pbca	61	87
Pc	7	58	Pnma	62	4
Cm	8	2	Ccca	68	1
Сс	9	55	P4	75	1
P2/m	10	1	P41	76	6
$P2_1/m$	11	1	P43	78	2
P2/c	13	21	<i>I</i> 4 ₁	80	3
$P2_1/c$	14	1188	РĀ	81	3
C2/c	15	117	P4 ₂ /n	86	1
P2221	17	1	14 ₁ /a	88	2
P21212	18	22	P41212	92	7
P212121	19	331	P4m2	115	1
C2221	20	4	P31	144	5
I222	23	2	P32	145	2
$Pmc2_1$	26	1	R3	146	21
Pma2	28	1	РĪ	147	1
$Pca2_1$	29	90	R3	148	60
$Pmn2_1$	31	3	P3,21	152	1
Pba2	32	3	P3,21	154	1
Pna2,	33	79	R3c	161	19
Pnn2	34	1	Rām	166	1
Ccc2	37	1	Rāc	167	8
Ama2	40	1	P6,	169	3
Aba2	41	2	P6.	170	1
Fdd 2	43	1	P63	173	2
Iba2	45	5	P6/mcc	192	1
Ima2	46	1	-,		

calculated (Table 2). As observed earlier (Mighell, Himes & Rodgers, 1983), about 78% of the compounds were described in only five space groups.

The distribution of compounds among the seven crystal systems (Table 3) shows that, for those structures with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit, there is about a 1.8-fold increase in the population of the triclinic system, whereas in the orthorhombic system the population decreased by about 1.5-fold when compared with the distribution for all crystal structures listed in the database.

Space-group statistics for structures which have crystallographically independent half molecules in the asymmetric unit were considered separately. Such structures were picked up from the database based on two conditions satisfied simultaneously: (a) the parameter NSAT $\neq 0$ (*Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre User Manual*, 1978) (NSAT is the number of symmetry-related atoms generated from the published asymmetric unit to complete the 'crystal chemical unit'); and (b) the reported Z value was equal to the standard Z value given in *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974). Of 1833 such structures, 458 were polymeric and were not considered for the analysis. 72% of the remaining 1375

^{*} We have not applied any χ^2 -type significance tests to the reported distributions, nor were any further internal consistency checks carried out on the entries in the Cambridge Structural Database.

Table 2. Space-group frequencies of 51 611 organocarbon compounds

28 unoccupied space groups are not included.

Space-	Space-		Space-	Space-	
group	group	Fre-	group	group	Fre-
symbol	no.	quency	symbol	no.	quency
P1	1	635	Ibca	73	15
PĪ	2	8733	Imma	74	5
P2	3	8	P4	75	2
P21	4	3278	P4,	76	79
C2	5	463	P42	77	5
Pm	6	1	P43	78	24
Pc	7	196	I4	79	16
Cm	8	30	I4 ₁	80	13
Сс	9	501	PĀ	81	11
P2/m	10	7	IĀ	82	76
$P2_1/m$	11	332	P4/ m	83	1
C2/m	12	254	P4 ₂ /m	84	8
P2/c	13	254	P4/n	85	54
$P2_1/c$	14	18 885	P4 ₂ /n	86	87
C^2/c	15	3585	14/m	87	29
P222	16	3	$14_{1}/a$	88	153
P2221 P2 2 2	1/	4	P422 B42-2	89	1
P21212	18	2/1	P4212	90	3
$r_{2_12_12_1}$	19	30/9	P4122	91	160
C_{2221}	20	117	P41212	92	100
1222	21	4	P4222	93	16
1222	23	14	PA 22	05	10
Dmm2	24	1	PA 2 2	95	65
Pmc2	25	11	I 43212 IA22	97	1
Pcc2	20	1	I4.22	98	2
Pma?	27	1	P4.cm	101	1
Pca2.	20	387	$P4_{2}nm$	102	3
Pnc2	30	8	P4nc	104	6
Pmn2,	31	40	$P4_2mc$	105	1
Pba2	32	16	$P4_{2}bc$	106	6
Pna2 ₁	33	840	I4mm	107	2
Pnn2	34	18	I4cm	108	4
Cmm2	35	1	$I4_1md$	109	5
Cmc2 ₁	36	93	$I4_1cd$	110	20
Ccc2	37	9	P42m	111	2
Amm2	38	1	$P_{\underline{4}2_1}m$	113	20
Abm2	39	6	$P42_1c$	114	76
Ama2	40	12	P4m2	115	2
Aba2	41	46	P4c2	116	2
rmm2	42	11	P402	117	4
Faa 2	43	1/6	P4n2 IAm2	118	14
Imm2 Iba2	44	44	14/12	119	3
Imal	45	44	1402 1422	120	10
Pnnn	40	3	14211	121	26
Pccm	40	1	P4/mmm	122	20
Phan	50	2	P4/mcc	124	8
Pmma	51	7	P4/nnc	126	9
Pnna	52	49	P4/mbm	127	4
Pmna	53	8	P4/mnc	128	3
Pcca	54	17	P4/nmm	129	10
Pbam	55	13	P4/ncc	130	16
Pccn	56	178	P4 ₂ /mmc	131	3
Pbcm	57	78	P4 ₂ / nbc	133	4
Pnnm	58	49	$P4_2/nnm$	134	1
Pmmn	59	26	$P4_2/mbc$	135	5
Pbcn	60	519	$P4_2/mnm$	136	16
Pbca	61	2189	$P4_2/nmc$	137	10
Pnma	62	811	$P4_2/ncm$	138	3
Cmcm	63	86	14/mmm	139	11
Cmca	04	11	$I \neq mcm$	140	5
Comm	60	4	I_{4_1}/ama	141	8
Com	00 47		1+1/ aca D2	142	27
Crea	62	12	P1	145	11
Fmmm	60	13	P3.	145	···· ···
Fddd	70	47	R3	146	77
Immm	71	3	P3	147	51
Ibam	72	25	R3	148	235

Space-	Space-		Space-	Space-	
group	group	Fre-	group	group	Fre-
symbol	no.	quency	symbol	no.	quency
P321	150	5	P62c	190	11
P3112	151	1	P6/mmm	191	1
P3121	152	56	P6/mcc	192	2
P3212	153	1	$P6_3/mmc$	194	11
$P3_{2}21$	154	21	F23	196	1
R32	155	19	I23	197	3
P31m	157	2	P213	198	31
P3c1	158	7	1213	199	1
P31c	159	11	Fm3	202	2
R3m	160	23	Fd3	203	1
R3c	161	62	Im3	204	3
P31c	163	21	Pa3	205	49
P3m1	164	9	Ia3	206	3
P3c1	165	20	F432	209	2
R3m	166	18	F4132	210	1
R3c	167	57	P4332	212	2
P61	169	35	P4132	213	3
P65	170	27	P43 m	215	3
P62	171	4	F43m	216	1
P64	172	2	I43 m	217	15
P63	173	34	P43n	218	8
Pē	174	1	Fā3c	219	7
P6 ₃ /m	176	89	I 4 3d	220	6
P6122	178	9	Pm3m	221	9
P6522	179	4	Pm3n	223	1
P6222	180	3	Pn3m	224	3
P6322	182	2	Fm3m	225	10
P6mm	183	1	Fd3m	227	5
P6 ₃ cm	185	1	Fd3c	228	5
$P6_3mc$	186	15	Im3m	229	10
$P\overline{6}2m$	189	1	Ia3d	230	1

Table 2 (cont.)

Table 3. Distribution of (a) organo-carbon compounds with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit and (b) all 51 611 organo-carbon compounds, in the seven crystal systems, along with the corresponding percentages in parentheses

	Frequency			
Crystal system	(<i>a</i>)	(b)		
Triclinic	1364 (31.9)	9368 (18·1)		
Monoclinic	2093 (49.0)	27 794 (53.8)		
Orthorhombic	663 (15-5)	12 064 (23.4)		
Tetragonal	26 (0.6)	1173 (2.3)		
Trigonal	119 (2.8)	773 (1-5)		
Hexagonal	7 (0-2)	253 (0.5)		
Cubic	0 (0.0)	186 (0.4)		

structures were surveyed, of which 721 structures had either only solvent molecules on the symmetry elements or a disordered water molecule. 76% of the 274 such distinct structures surveyed (Table 4) were in the most populous space groups $P\overline{1}$ (48.9%) and $P2_1/c$ (26.6%).

We have also calculated the distribution of compounds belonging to class 47 (nucleosides and nucleotides) and class 48 (amino acids and peptides) of the CSD which are chiral in nature. Of 1572 distinct compounds in these classes, 1276 fall in the 65 space groups which do not have operations of the second kind. Calculations showed that about 78% of such compounds are in only two space groups: $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ (44.7%) and $P2_{1}$ (33.4%). By consideration

Table 4. Space-group frequencies for the 274 structureswhich have crystallographically independent halfmolecules in the asymmetric unit

 Table 5. Frequencies of the 65 space groups which do not have the operations of the second kind

Unoccupied space groups are not included.

Space-group	Space-group	
symbol	no.	Frequency
РĪ	2	134
P2	3	1
C2	5	9
$P2_1/m$	11	2
P2/c	13	13
$P2_1/c$	14	73
C2/c	15	14
P212121	18	3
C2221	20	1
$Pmc2_1$	26	5
Pnc2	30	1
$Cmc2_1$	36	1
Pnna	52	2
Pmna	53	1
Pcca	54	1
Pccn	56	2
Pbcm	57	3
Pbca	61	1
Pnma	62	5
Fddd	70	1
PÃ	81	1

of all the compounds belonging to various classes in the 65 space groups, the distribution (Table 5) seems to have a similar trend: $P2_12_12_1$ (50.3%) and $P2_1$ (29.0%).

Of the 461 entries in the July 1988 version of the Protein Data Bank (Bernstein et al., 1977), 208 entries which contain coordinates are considered distinct, after excluding nucleic acid structures, model structures, entries with low molecular weights (such as synthetic oligopeptides) and viral structures. For a given protein, only one entry was considered from among its isomorphous structures. The most populated space groups among proteins (Table 6) are $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ (26.9%), C2 (12.9%) and $P2_{1}$ (10.6%), a distribution quite different from that of chiral small molecules (Table 5). Tetragonal (12.5%) and trigonal (15.9%) space groups are also considerably populated for proteins. This could be attributed to the molecular shapes of the proteins, in association with their solvents of crystallization, which allow them to pack with higher symmetries.

Discussion

The results presented should be treated with care, allowing for the fact that certain space-group frequencies may be under- or overestimated, owing to the crystal structures being reported in unnecessarily low-symmetry space groups in several cases. These errors are due to compounds reported in (1) incorrect space group but correct crystal system, (2) incorrect space group and incorrect crystal system

Space-	Space-		Space-	Space-	
group	group	Fre-	group	group	Fre-
symbol	no.	quency	symbol	no.	quency
P1	1	635	P312	149	0
P2	3	8	P321	150	5
P21	4	3278	P3112	151	1
C2	5	463	P3121	152	56
P222	16	3	P3212	153	1
P2221	17	4	P3221	154	21
P21212	18	271	R32	155	19
P212121	19	5679	P6	168	0
C2221	20	117	P61	169	35
C222	21	4	P65	170	27
F222	22	0	$P6_2$	171	4
I222	23	14	P64	172	2
I212151	24	1	P63	173	34
P4	75	2	P622	177	0
P41	76	79	P6122	178	9
$P4_2$	77	5	P6522	179	4
P43	78	24	P6222	180	3
I4	79	16	P6422	181	0
14,	80	13	P6322	182	2
P422	89	1	P23	195	0
P4212	90	3	F23	196	1
P4122	91	2	I 23	197	3
P41212	92	160	P213	198	31
P4222	93	1	12,3	199	1
$P4_{2}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}2_{1}^{-}$	94	16	P432	207	0
P4322	95	2	P4232	208	0
P43212	96	65	F432	209	2
I422	97	1	F4132	210	1
I4125	98	2	I432	211	0
P3	143	11	P4 ₃ 32	212	2
P31	144	44	P4132	213	3
P32	145	22	14,32	214	0
R3	146	77	-		

Table 6. Space-group frequencies of 208 proteins

36 unoccupied space groups are not included.

Space- group symbol	Space- group no.	Fre- quency	Space- group symbol	Space- group no.	Fre- quency
<i>P</i> 1	1	6	P31	144	2
P21	4	22	P32	145	4
C2	5	27	R3	146	2
P21212	18	7	P321	150	1
P212121	19	56	P3,21	152	12
C2221	20	10	P3,21	154	9
1222	23	6	R32	155	3
P4	75	1	P61	169	4
P41	76	1	P6,	173	2
P4,	77	1	P622	177	1
P43	78	2	P6122	178	2
P4212	90	2	P6,22	179	2
P4,2,2	92	9	123	197	1
P4,2,2	96	7	P2,3	198	3
เงวีว	07	2	•		

(Mighell, Himes & Rodgers, 1983). The unequivocal space-group determination of the given crystal structure can only be through the least-squares refinement of the structure in various candidate space groups and distinguishing between them through significance tests (Hamilton, 1964).

Errors of the second type can be recognized by using the program *TRACERII* (Lawton, 1973) to obtain the reduced cell with highest possible symTable 7. Probable convertions to higher-symmetrycrystal systems, at different values of tolerance factorused in the program TRACERII

(a) For structures with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit

Number of	f structures	in triclinic	system $= 1364$
-----------	--------------	--------------	-----------------

Tolerance	Number converted to*					
factor	2	3	4	5	6	7
0.1	16	1	0	0	0	0
0.2	42	2	0	1	0	0
1.0	69	3	1	1	0	0

Number of structures in monoclinic system = 2093

Tolerance		Number converted to*			
factor	3	4	5	6	7
0.1	55	1	0	0	0
0.5	147	3	0	0	0
1.0	270	3	0	0	0

Number of structures in orthorhombic system = 663

Tolerance	Number converted to*				
factor	4	5	6	7	
0.1	1	0	0	0	
0.5	6	0	0	0	
1.0	14	0	0	0	

(b) For all structures

Number of structures in triclinic system = 9368

Tolerance	Number converted to*					
factor	2	3	4	5	6	7
0.1	46	1	0	2	0	0
0.5	126	5	0	5	0	0
1.0	268	13	3	6	0	1

Number of structures in monoclinic system = 27 794

Tolerance	Number converted to*					
factor	3	4	5	6	7	
0.1	381	1	0	1	0	
0.5	1399	12	0	3	0	
1.0	2633	30	0	6	0	

Number of structures in orthorhombic system = 12 064

Tolerance	Number converted to*					
factor	4	5	6	7		
0.1	28	0	0	1		
0.5	97	0	0	1		
1.0	191	0	0	2		

* 1: triclinic; 2: monoclinic; 3: orthorhombic; 4: tetragonal; 5: trigonal; 6: hexagonal; 7: cubic.

metry. Several crystal structure determinations had been performed with space groups of incorrectly low symmetry (Baur & Tillmanns, 1986) and there are instances of wrong space-group assignments which lead to more than one molecule in the asymmetric unit of the reported low-symmetry space group. For example, N-methylacetamide hemihydrochloride [originally described as C2, Z = 8; Jaber, Guilhem & Loiseleur (1983)] is correctly described as orthorhombic, Fdd2, with one molecule in the asymmetric unit (Marsh, 1983). Similarly, 8,5'-anhydro-8hydroxy-9- β -D-ribofuranosyladenine monohydrate [$P2_1$, Z = 4; Sugio *et al.* (1983)] should have been described as orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ (Marsh, 1984). The program *TRACER*II was run for both examples and the presence of higher symmetry was indicated even with a small value of 0.1 for the tolerance factor. The program was then run for the structures of Tables 1 and 2 belonging to triclinic, monoclinic and orthorhombic crystal systems. The probable conversions to higher metric symmetry than that originally reported are given in Table 7 for different values of the tolerance factor.

In the CSD some structures have been reported more than once. While calculating the statistics we have taken care to eliminate such duplicates on the basis of the CSD reference code using VAX SORT/MERGE routines. Furthermore, only those entries in the database which satisfy the following criteria are considered for the analysis: (a) error free, with coordinates; (b) no disorder; and (c) no polymeric structures.

It is observed that as many as 65 entries in the triclinic system were reported in non-standard space groups, such as $C\bar{1}$, $I\bar{1}$ etc., for convenience of structure solution. Further, the frequencies of those space groups that do not contain operations of the second kind should be doubled, because an organic molecule in such space groups will have a corresponding enantiomorph with the same space group (Donohue, 1985).

Concluding remarks

The frequency distribution of organic compounds crystallizing with more than one formula unit in the asymmetric unit is different from the distribution obtained when all the compounds are considered. We conclude that not all of these differences can be attributed to incorrect space-group determinations. Any possible relationship between protein shape and observed space group needs to be further investigated.

We thank the departments of Science and Technology and Biotechnology, Government of India, for financial support and for providing access to the relevant databases.

References

- Allen, F. H., Bellard, S., Brice, M. D., Cartwright, B. A., Doubleday, A., Higgs, H., Hummelink, T., Hummelink-Peters, B. G., Kennard, O., Motherwell, W. D. S., Rodgers, J. R. & Watson, D. G. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 2331-2339.
- BAUR, W. H. & TILLMANNS, E. (1986). Acta Cryst. B42, 95-111.
- BERNSTEIN, F. C., KOETZLE, T. F., WILLIAMS, G. J. B., MEYER, E. F. JR, BRICE, M. D., RODGERS, J. R., KENNARD, O., SHIMONOUCHI, T. & TASUMI, M. (1977). J. Mol. Biol. 112, 535-542.
- Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre User Manual (1978). 2nd ed. Cambridge Univ., England.

DONOHUE, J. (1985). Acta Cryst. A41, 203-204.

- HAMILTON, W. C. (1964). Statistics in Physical Science. New York: Ronald Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. 1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JABER, M., GUILHEM, J. & LOISELEUR, H. (1983). Acta Cryst. C38, 485-487.
- LAWTON, S. L. (1973). J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309-316.
- MARSH, R. E. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1473.
- MARSH, R. E. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 712.

MARSH, R. E. (1986). Acta Cryst. B42, 193-198.

MARSH, R. E. & HERBSTEIN, F. H. (1983). Acta Cryst. B39,

- 280-287. MARSH, R. E. & SCHOMAKER, V. (1979). Inorg. Chem. 18, 2331-2336.
- MIGHELL, A. D., HIMES, V. L. & RODGERS, J. R. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 737-740.
- SUGIO, S., MUJINO, H., HITAMURA, K., HAMADA, K., IKEHARA,
 M. & TOMITA, K. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 745-747.
 WILSON, A. J. C. (1988). Acta Cryst. A44, 715-724.

Acta Cryst. (1990). A46, 730-734

Lorentz Factor for Oriented Samples in Powder Diffractometry

By L. Zevin*

Laboratory for Crystallography, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 C, B-3030 Heverlee, Belgium

(Received 12 June 1989; accepted 9 April 1990)

Abstract

Owing to axial divergence of the incident and diffracted beams in a powder diffractometer, poles of reflecting crystallites are spread over a significant angular range in the axial plane, normal to the focusing plane of the diffractometer. The probability for a crystallite to reflect X-rays depends on Bragg angle and on inclination of the pole to the focusing plane. In order to calculate the number of reflecting crystallites ('powder' supplement to the Lorentz factor) in an oriented sample, the orientation function of the crystallite must be multiplied by a probability function and integrated over the whole range of the pole's spreading caused by axial divergence. A probability function has been derived, and a 'powder' supplement to the Lorentz factor has been calculated for samples with various degrees of preferred orientation. It is shown that, in the 2θ range below 20° , the angular dependence of the Lorentz factor deviates considerably from the conventional form $(\sin \theta)^{-1}$. The required formulation is given for the intensity correction for low-angle reflections of oriented samples.

Introduction

The integrated intensity diffracted by the (hkl) plane of a randomly oriented non-absorbing powder specimen is most generally expressed (Azaroff, 1968) as

 $I = (KmH_c)/(8\pi R\sin\theta)QV, \qquad (1)$

where K is the scale factor, m the multiplicity factor,

 H_c the length of the detector slit, R the diffractometer radius, Q the reflecting power per unit volume element and V the sample volume. The term $mH_c/(8\pi R\sin\theta)$ is proportional to the number of crystallites properly oriented so as to diffract X-rays into the detector slit of height H_c . The $(\sin \theta)^{-1}$ multiplier of this term, which emphasizes the θ dependence of the number of properly oriented crystallites, is of special interest here. In fact, $(\sin \theta)^{-1}$ may be regarded as the powder supplement to the regular single-crystal Lorentz factor equal to $(\sin 2\theta)^{-1}$ [included in Q in (1)], bringing the Lorentz factor for powders to its usual form $(\sin \theta \sin 2\theta)^{-1}$. In the case of an oriented powder sample, an additional term equal to the pole density in the direction of the diffraction vector P_0 must be introduced into (1) with the same aim of accounting for the number of correctly oriented crystallites.

Thus, the corrected intensity is given by

$$I_{\rm cor} = K_0 Q V P_0 S_p, \tag{2}$$

where K_0 includes the scale factor K, numerical constants, instrumental constants H_c and R, and multiplicity factor m from (1), and S_p is the angle-dependent powder supplement to the Lorentz factor. For a randomly oriented sample, $S_p = (\sin \theta)^{-1}$ and $P_0 = 1$.

Much effort has been devoted to acquiring P_0 values for various (*hkl*) planes using various approximations for the pole distribution function P (Roe & Krigbaum, 1964; Sturm & Lodding, 1968; Dollase, 1986). However, under certain experimental conditions, due to the finite size of the focal spot, sample and detector slit, crystallites with a considerable spread of orientations contribute to the integrated intensity of the

0108-7673/90/090730-05\$03.00 © 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Permanent address: The Institutes for Applied Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, PO Box 1025, Beer-Sheva 84110, Israel.